1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA	
2	Harrisonburg Division	
3	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Criminal No. 5:15cr	0020
4	Plaintiff,	
5		
6	vs. Harrisonburg, Virgin	nia
7	WARREN EVANS, JR.,	
8	Defendant. January 27, 2016	
9		
10	BEFORE THE HONORABLE MICHAEL F. URBANSKI UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
11	APPEARANCES:	
12		
13		
14	Charlottesville, VA 22902	3
15	For the Defendant: RUSSELL DARREN BOSTIC, ES	3Q.
	409 Virginia Ave.	
16	Harrisonburg VA 22802	
17		
18	U.S. Court Reporter 116 N. Main St. Room 314	1
19	Harrisonburg, VA 22802 540.434.3181. Ext. 7	
20		
21	_	
22		
23	3	
24		
25	Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography; transcr produced by computer.	ipt

MS. KULPA: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you.

2.1

So specifically, in regard to Count 1 with the drug trafficking conspiracy -- and as you mentioned, Your Honor, you had Mr. Giles before you. There is a period of time from February 2013 to October 2014 where Mr. Evans and Mr. Giles worked together. Essentially, they had a pretty structured division of labor, from the information that we have; that essentially Mr. Giles was the source of the contacts for heroin distribution and Mr. Evans brought to the table the contacts for cocaine distribution and they used those contacts to distribute together heroin, cocaine and crack cocaine during the course of the conspiracy.

They specifically targeted customers in and around the Winchester, Virginia, area and a lot of the connections between Mr. Giles and Mr. Evans go back to distributors in Virginia and West Virginia, in the Winchester area specifically, that were distributing crack cocaine and heroin to customers in those regions.

There are phone records that connect a lot of these pieces of the puzzle together, as Your Honor can see, and much of the witnesses and the information that we had indicated that when Mr. Giles and Mr. Evans were together, they were essentially dividing labor, even on the individual drug deal. So not at a macro level, Your Honor, but at a micro level. They would appear together to distribute the

drugs. One would handle money and the other would handle the drugs. One would be driving and the other would be in the passenger seat. There were undercover purchases that law enforcement has on video and that was given in discovery that showed Mr. Evans clearly being one of the individuals. Giles was the one that had taken the call and made the connection to arrange for the purchase and the transaction. Mr. Evans is the one who was actually doing the distribution hand-to-hand, with Mr. Giles in the car. So when we say they worked quite closely together as partners, Your Honor, they truly did, both at a macro level where, as you can see from the search warrants that were executed in May 2014, you had essentially the bulk of the cash discovered at a residence primarily occupied by Mr. Giles, and then the drugs and the guns, Your Honor, were kept at a residence primarily occupied by Mr. Evans. Three of those firearms that were recovered -of the three that were recovered during that search warrant, two of those firearms, Your Honor, were linked to a transaction that Mr. Evans made, essentially trading drugs for those guns, and were connected to Virginia and West Virginia distributors that were selling drugs to customers throughout the Virginia and West Virginia area. Honor, both at a macro level and at a micro level, there really was that division of labor in what they were doing. There was discussion among Mr. Giles and Mr. Evans

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

about the overdose deaths of individuals that were happening. They were learning of the overdose deaths as they were coming up. And Your Honor, while the count of the indictment charges Mr. Evans with the distribution death to RFL, as you'll note in the statement of facts that you reviewed, there were other individuals, both overdose deaths and serious bodily injuries, that were attributable to both Mr. Giles and Mr. Evans and they were aware of these deaths during the time they were happening and continuing to distribute heroin to the same distributors and -- that were then taking it back and selling it to the customers in that area.

Your Honor, specifically as to the overdose death that occurred with RFL, there was a lot of phone records and information that went back and forth between Mr. Giles and the distributor in the Winchester area, who was Scott Pierce, and who had travelled from Winchester to Baltimore on multiple occasions to purchase heroin and crack cocaine from Mr. Giles; and that Mr. Evans was with Mr. Giles at least half the time when this particular distribution on March 19, 2014, on that specific date, Mr. Giles was there -- excuse me, Your Honor. Mr. Evans was there with Mr. Giles while the transaction was happening and while they were distributing, he purchased three grams of heroin on that occasion. He brought it back, distributed it in three separate bags of

heroin which had come from Mr. Giles and Evans -- Mr. Evans, and the distribution happened to RFL that same day, Your Honor.

So, there's a pretty direct link to this specific distribution and to the death of RFL; so this specific distribution by Mr. Evans and the death of RFL, specifically as is charged in Count 2.

Your Honor, going through some of the other overdose injuries, you'll see that the facts are there in terms of how the distribution happened, how those amounts that were coming from Mr. Evans and Mr. Giles ultimately wound up in the hands of the individuals who distributed it and who used it and overdosed.

So, I'm happy to go over anything else more specifically, but I do know in speaking with Mr. Bostic that Mr. Evans had read this in detail; had asked questions specifically; had multiple meetings to address this. So I do know that his signature on this was based on him reviewing this in detail, but I'm happy to highlight anything else, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Can we talk about drug weight for a minute? Where's the evidence in this case that the drug weight of 1,000 grams of heroin -- at least 1,000 grams of heroin -- at least 280 grams of crack cocaine was reasonably foreseeable to Mr. Evans? Where does that come from?

MS. KULPA: Your Honor, in the description of the partnership between Mr. Evans and Mr. Giles, both the information that came from Mr. Giles and the information that came from Mr. Evans, they talked about working together on this from its inception, and that they were really in a partnership. So the weight that is attributable is over the course of the entire conspiracy, from February 2013 through October 2014. There was not much independent action going on by either one of these individuals at that time. So, while sources may have -- sources of supply may have belonged as connections, personal connections to one or the other, they really worked in partnership in order to engage in this distribution conspiracy. So the weight is attributable to both of them.

macro level and a micro level, they were not only dividing up sources and contacts, but to the extent when Mr. Giles would go out of town, Mr. Evans ran the entire distribution business, while Mr. Giles would be out of town on vacation. He was in charge of all the contacts, all of the buys that were being made from them that weekend, regardless of the substance, and responsible for the money. The money would be pooled, stored at one location. The drugs would be pooled, stored at one location.

Just as a snapshot, Your Honor, on the one occasion

that law enforcement executed the search warrant, on that discreet day, at the home of Mr. Evans where he was residing, there was \$4000 in cash, 93 grams of powder cocaine, ten grams of heroin and three firearms. Those three firearms had specifically been traded for drugs that were part of the conspiracy. So we know that those are directly related to this conspiracy.

Then, at the same time at Mr. Giles' home, there was

Then, at the same time at Mr. Giles' home, there was \$60,000 in cash, 12 grams of crack, even though -- so as you can see, Your Honor, Mr. Evans is holding the heroin, although Mr. Giles is the one with the heroin connections.

Mr. Giles is holding the crack, although Mr. Evans is the one with the crack supply connections. That's because they really just worked in partnership about where they were going to store things, how they were going to do a division of labor in order to be as profitable and as efficient as possible, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you for that, Ms. Kulpa.

Mr. Bostic, based on your review of this case, your review of the discovery, your understanding of this case, do you have any dispute with what Ms. Kulpa just went over?

MR. BOSTIC: Judge, the one thing I would characterize a little bit differently than she characterized it, Mr. Evans' involvement of it, I do not believe, was in going out and actually getting the majority of the drugs.

That was mostly Mr. Giles. He had a number of different 1 2 connections of people that he was buying from. What Mr. 3 Evans did with respect to the cocaine, did have a source there. But the heroin, the vast majority of that was Mr. 4 5 I believe that Mr. Giles would even state that. With respect to the weight, in dealing with that, one 6 7 of the things I always try to do is develop in my mind, not just through people's testimony, but actually go through and 8 9 look in what individual purchases were going on. As police 10 were starting the investigation and starting to put together 11 individual statements of different people involved, they, a 12 lot of times, will do a brief proffer. I was able to satisfy 13 myself the government could prove their standard. There was 14 a number of witnesses that would describe the weights as going up that they were actually buying from Mr. Giles and 15 Mr. Evans, of course, was right there in the car the vast 16 17 majority of those times. Weights, quite frankly, add up 18 quite quickly. THE COURT: Weights in excess of a thousand grams of 19 20 heroin? 2.1 MR. BOSTIC: Yes. 22 THE COURT: And in excess of 280 grams of crack? 23 MR. BOSTIC: Yes. 24 In fact, I think the grand jury testimony of a number of different people easily gets above that. 25

THE COURT: What about with regard to his involvement with the heroin on the day that the heroin is provided to Mr. Pierce that led to the overdose death of RFL? Are you satisfied there's sufficient evidence there? MR. BOSTIC: Yeah. Let me also state, I have done some research on this on heroin overdose cases. There have been a variety of different circuits and what the requirement is and whether or not there's a requirement under the Pinkerton liability. Or does there have to be a direct line going up? Depending on which jurisdiction, you get kind of a different version. The one thing about this particular death, I was able to satisfy myself to a specific direct line up to Giles and Mr. Evans, I believe, was there on that particular distribution. So it was a little bit unusual in that regard that there was a direct line. The Pinkerton liability was the first thing I actually started off with. That was my very first concern. Then I started looking at a lot of other jurisdictions and I found that there was some record to support this direct line

THE COURT: Now, did you go over this agreed statement of facts with your client?

theory. Where we are is I believe they could prove that.

MR. BOSTIC: Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

THE COURT: Did you have a chance to go over it in

```
detail and make any necessary changes and corrections to it?
1
2
            MR. BOSTIC: Yes.
3
            THE COURT: Now, Mr. Evans, let me ask you. You've
    heard what the government said and you've heard what
4
5
    Mr. Bostic said. Do you disagree with any of that?
            THE DEFENDANT: No, sir.
6
7
            THE COURT: Did you, in fact, engage in distribution
    of heroin and crack cocaine?
8
9
            THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
10
            THE COURT: With Mr. Giles?
11
            THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
            THE COURT: Was it reasonably foreseeable to you that
12
13
    the amount involved was more than a thousand grams of heroin
14
    throughout the entire scope of the conspiracy?
15
            THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
            THE COURT: And the same question with regard to
16
17
    280 grams of crack cocaine throughout the entire scope?
18
            THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
            THE COURT: Did you and Mr. Giles know that folks
19
20
    were overdosing?
21
            THE DEFENDANT: I just learned when this right here
22
    happened, during the course.
            THE COURT: I'm not sure I understand what you mean.
23
24
            THE DEFENDANT: I found out with this, in this
25
    discovery and everything, what was going on.
```

```
THE COURT: Well, did you know at the time you were
1
2
    selling the drugs folks were overdosing?
3
            THE DEFENDANT: No. I heard they would like
    overdose, but they would come back and things like that.
4
5
            THE COURT: Did you know anybody died?
            THE DEFENDANT: No, I didn't know nobody died until
6
7
    my lawyer told me.
            THE COURT: Do you have any reason to disagree or
8
9
    dispute the government's evidence that you were present with
10
    Mr. Giles when the heroin that was sold to Mr. Pierce ended
11
    up in the hands of RFL when he died? Do you have any basis
12
    upon which to dispute that?
13
            THE DEFENDANT: No, sir.
14
            THE COURT: Did you go over this written statement of
    facts?
15
            THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
16
17
            THE COURT: Did you go over it with your lawyer?
            THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
18
            THE COURT: Is what's set forth in this written
19
20
    statement of facts accurate?
21
            THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
22
            THE COURT: Do you agree with it?
            THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
23
24
            THE COURT: Are you, in fact, guilty of conspiracy to
25
    distribute, an illegal agreement to distribute a thousand
```

```
1
    grams or more of heroin?
             THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
2
3
             THE COURT: Are you, in fact, guilty of a conspiracy,
    an illegal agreement, to distribute 280 grams or more of
4
    crack cocaine?
5
             THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
6
7
             THE COURT: Are you, in fact, guilty of the charge in
    Count 2 of distributing heroin that resulted in the death of
8
    RFL?
9
10
             THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
             THE COURT: Are you pleading guilty of your own free
11
12
    will?
             THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
13
             (Conclusion of requested excerpt).
14
15
16
    "I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from
17
    the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter.
18
19
20
    /s/ Sonia Ferris
                                         June 13, 2016"
21
22
23
24
25
```